The noncommutative space we live in Postgraduate Conference 2023: Research translation in all its forms

Eva-Maria Hekkelman PhD supervisors: Fedor Sukochev, Ed McDonald (Penn State) and Dmitriy Zanin

August 11 2023

Eva-Maria Hekkelman

The noncommutative space we live in

August 11 2023

Ingredients of this talk

- Essence of noncommutative geometry
- A hint of physics
- Multiple operator integrals

This talk is based on work in progress with Teun van Nuland, Fedor Sukochev and Dmitriy Zanin.

<日

<</p>

Part 1: Noncommutative Geometry

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

Differential geometry

Imagine that we are interested in some geometric object X.



We can study this as a *Riemannian manifold*, which is to say a (compact) topological space X where we keep track of things like:

- The distance between points in the space;
- The curvature at each point;
- A sense of 'straight lines' (geodesics).

Algebraic geometry?

Let us take a different perspective, considering instead the continuous functions

$$f:X
ightarrow\mathbb{C}.$$

We can give this the structure of an algebra, with the pointwise operations

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x);$$

 $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x), \quad x \in X.$

With the norm $||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ and involution $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$ this forms a unital commutative C^* -algebra.

Other examples of C^* -algebras are the matrices $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and B(H), the bounded operators on a Hilbert space.

Translations

If we have a subset $A \subset X$, then

$$\{f \in C(X) : f|_A \equiv 0\}$$

forms an ideal in C(X). In fact, we have the following translations:

- Subsets \Rightarrow ideals;
- Points ⇒ maximal ideals;
- Connected components \Rightarrow projections (read: a function that only takes values 0 and 1).

And many more!

Gelfand duality

In fact, this connection is very strong.

Gelfand duality (1940s)

Every unital commutative C^* -algebra A is of the form A = C(X) for a compact Hausdorff space X, and this correspondence is one-to-one. (Even better, this is a dual equivalence of categories.)

This means that *all* topological data of X is contained in C(X). But we lose all geometric data.

A different approach

Can one hear the shape of a drum?



Figure: Mark Kac, Center for Nonlinear Studies.

Hearing the shape of a drum

The sounds a drum produces are those $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \lambda u & \text{on } X; \\ u|_{\partial X} = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a solution (Helmholtz equation). Here Δ is the Laplace–Beltrami operator, the manifold equivalent of the differential operator $-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}^2$. This is an unbounded operator on the Hilbert space

$$L_2(X) = \{f: X \to \mathbb{C} : \int_X |f(x)|^2 d\operatorname{vol}(x) < \infty\},$$

and these λ are the eigenvalues of Δ .

The question asks whether we can reconstruct our Riemannian manifold X from the data $(L_2(X), \Delta)$, in particular, from these eigenvalues of the operator Δ (this is called *spectral geometry*).

Eva-Maria Hekkelman

The noncommutative space we live in

August 11 2023

9/26

What we can hear

Weyl's law (1910s)

Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension d. Let N(t) be the number of eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) of Δ with absolute value less than t. Then as $t \to \infty$,

$$N(t) = \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \operatorname{Vol}(X) t^{d/2} - \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{4(2\pi)^{d-1}} \operatorname{Area}(\partial X) t^{(d-1)/2} + o(t^{(d-1)/2}).$$

What we cannot hear



August 11 2023

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Spectral triples

C(X) gives us topological data, but no geometrical data. For $(L_2(X), \Delta)$ it is the other way around.

Combined, the triple $(C(X), L_2(X), \Delta)$ seems promising!

We can make these data 'talk' to each other by representing C(X) as operators on the Hilbert space $L_2(X)$ by the representation

$$\pi(f): L_2(X) \to L_2(X)$$

 $\xi \mapsto f \cdot \xi.$

Spectral triples

C(X) gives us topological data, but no geometrical data. For $(L_2(X), \Delta)$ it is the other way around.

Combined, the triple $(C(X), L_2(X), \Delta)$ seems promising!

We can make these data 'talk' to each other by representing C(X) as operators on the Hilbert space $L_2(X)$ by the representation

$$\pi(f): L_2(X) \to L_2(X)$$

 $\xi \mapsto f \cdot \xi.$

For technical reasons, it is more convenient to replace C(X) by $C^{\infty}(X)$, Δ by its square root D, the Dirac operator, and the Hilbert space $L_2(X)$ by $L_2(X, S)$ where $S \to X$ is a vector bundle (spinor bundle). The triple $(C^{\infty}(X), L_2(X, S), D)$ is an example of a *spectral triple*.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Spectral reconstruction

This combination recovers distances between points:

$$d(x,y) = \sup_{f \in C^{\infty}(X)} \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : ||[D, \pi(f)]|| \le 1 \}.$$



Figure: Van Suijlekom, W. D. (2015). *Noncommutative geometry and particle physics*. Dordrecht: Springer.

Eva-Maria Hekkelman

August 11 2023

13/26

Connes reconstruction theorem

Connes (2008, conj. 1996).

For any commutative *-algebra A, Hilbert space H and self-adjoint operator D such that (A, H, D) forms a d-dimensional commutative spectral triple, there is a Riemannian manifold X, a vector bundle $S \rightarrow X$ and a Dirac-type operator D_S such that

$$(A, H, D) = (C^{\infty}(X), L_2(X, S), D_S).$$

Furthermore, this association is unique (up to certain natural isomorphisms).

4 AR N 4 E N 4 E N

Noncommutative geometry

So far, we have been doing "algebraic geometry", capturing the Riemannian manifold entirely in the data (A, H, D), where:

- A is a commutative *-algebra, H a Hilbert space and D an unbounded self-adjoint operator on H;
- A is represented as bounded operators on H;
- Some technical compatibility conditions.

Noncommutative geometry

So far, we have been doing "algebraic geometry", capturing the Riemannian manifold entirely in the data (A, H, D), where:

- A is a commutative *-algebra, H a Hilbert space and D an unbounded self-adjoint operator on H;
- A is represented as bounded operators on H;
- Some technical compatibility conditions.

Noncommutative geometry is the study of such triples (A, H, D), where we drop the requirement that A is commutative.

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

Part 2: The physicsy bit

	Eva-	Mari	ia H	lek	kel	man
--	------	------	------	-----	-----	-----

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2

Physics

Standard model

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\nu} g^{a}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} g^{a}_{\mu} - g_{s} f^{abc} \partial_{\mu} g^{a}_{\nu} g^{b}_{\mu} g^{c}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g^{2}_{s} f^{abc} f^{adc} g^{b}_{\mu} g^{c}_{\nu} g^{d}_{\mu} g^{e}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} i g^{2}_{s} (\bar{q}^{i}_{i} \gamma^{\mu} q^{\sigma}_{j}) g^{a}_{\mu} + \bar{G}^{a} \partial^{2} G^{a} + g_{s} f^{abc} \partial_{\mu} \bar{G}^{a} G^{b} g^{c}_{\mu} - \partial_{\nu} W^{+}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu} - M^{2} \psi^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\nu} Z^{0}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} Z^{0}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} H \partial_{\mu} H - \frac{1}{2} m^{2}_{h} H^{2} - \partial_{\mu} \phi^{+} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{-} - M^{2} \phi^{+} \phi^{-} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{0} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{0} - \frac{1}{2c^{2}_{w}} M \phi^{0} \phi^{0} - \beta_{h} [\frac{2M^{2}}{g^{2}} + \frac{2M}{g} H^{2} - \partial_{\mu} \phi^{+} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{-} - M^{2} \phi^{+} \phi^{-} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{0} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{0} - \frac{1}{2c^{2}_{w}} M \phi^{0} \phi^{0} - \beta_{h} [\frac{2M^{2}}{g^{2}} + \frac{2M}{g} H^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (H^{2} + \phi^{0} \phi^{0} + 2\phi^{+} \phi^{-})] + \frac{2M^{4}}{g^{2}} \alpha_{h} - igc_{w} [\partial_{\nu} Z^{0}_{\mu} (W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\nu} - W^{-}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} W^{+}_{\mu}) + Z^{0}_{\mu} (W^{+}_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu} - W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu}) - Z^{0}_{\nu} (W^{+}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu} - W^{-}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} W^{+}_{\mu}) + Z^{0}_{\mu} (W^{+}_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu} - \frac{W^{-}_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} W^{+}_{\mu})] - igs_{w} [\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} (W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\nu} - W^{-}_{\nu} \partial_{\nu} W^{+}_{\mu})] - \frac{1}{2} g^{2} W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{2} W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\nu} W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\nu} + g^{2} c^{2}_{w} (Z^{0}_{\mu} W^{+}_{\mu} Z^{0}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu} - Z^{0}_{\mu} Z^{0}_{\mu} W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu}) + g^{2} s^{2}_{w} (A_{\mu} W^{+}_{\mu} A_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu} - A_{\mu} A_{\mu} W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu}) + g^{2} s_{w} c_{w} [A_{\mu} Z^{0}_{\nu} (W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\nu} - M^{-}_{\mu} A_{\mu} W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu}) + g^{2} s_{w} c_{w} [A_{\mu} Z^{0}_{\nu} (W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{2} \frac{M^{2}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} A_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu} - A_{\mu} A_{\mu} W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\nu}) + g^{2} s_{w} c_{w} [A_{\mu} Z^{0}_{\mu} W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{2} \frac{M^{2}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} - A_{\mu} A_{\mu} W^{+}_{\nu} W^{-}_{\mu}) + g^{2} s_{w} c_{w} [A_{\mu} Z^{0}_{\mu} W^{+}_{\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} H^{-}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{2} \frac{M^{$$

The noncommutative standard model

If we augment the commutative spectral triple $(C^{\infty}(X), L_2(X, S), D_S)$ with the carefully chosen data (A_F, H_F, D_F) , putting $D := D_S \otimes 1 + \gamma \otimes D_F$, we get the (noncommutative) spectral triple

 $(C^{\infty}(X)\otimes A_F, L_2(X,S)\otimes H_F, D).$

Perturbing D by suitable self-adjoint operators V, the functional

$$f\mapsto {\sf Tr}(f(D+V)), \quad f:\mathbb{R} o\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
 even, s.t. $f(D)\in {\cal L}_1,$

recovers the entire Lagrangian of the Standard Model with some coefficients depending on f. This is called the *spectral action*.

Part 3: Research

Eva-iviaria Hekkeiman	kelman	lekl	H	laria	∕a-N	E٧
-----------------------	--------	------	---	-------	------	----

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

3

Functional calculus

It seems important to really understand Tr(f(D + V)) for self-adjoint operators D and V.

For a bounded operator A on a Hilbert space, the operator $\exp(tA)$ can be defined as a limit of $\sum_{n \le N} \frac{t^n}{n!} A^n$ (think of your ODE course).

We can go further and define f(D) for a.e.-finite measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ and (unbounded) self-adjoint operators D.

Taylor expansion

If f is smooth enough, a naive way to analyse Tr(f(D + V)) is to do a Taylor expansion of the function $t \mapsto Tr(f(D + tV))$ around t = 0:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(f(D+V)) = \operatorname{P}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \bigg|_{t=0} \operatorname{Tr}(f(D+tV))$$
$$= \operatorname{P}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n}\bigg|_{t=0} f(D+tV)\right).$$

What on earth is $\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \Big|_{t=0} f(D + tV)$?

Multiple operator integrals

If a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ admits a representation of the form

$$\phi(\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_n)=\int_{\Omega}g_0(\lambda_0,\omega)\cdots g_n(\lambda_n,\omega)d\nu(\omega),$$

(with some condition on the g_j) and self-adjoint operators H_0, \ldots, H_n , we can define the transformer

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0,\ldots,H_n}:B(H)\times\cdots\times B(H)\to B(H)$$
$$(V_1,\ldots,V_n)\mapsto \int_{\Omega}g_0(H_0,\omega)V_1g_1(H_1,\omega)\cdots V_ng_n(H_n,\omega)d\nu(\omega).$$

This does not depend on how we represent ϕ !

Divided differences

Given a smooth function f, we can define

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_0,\lambda_1)=rac{f(\lambda_0)-f(\lambda_1)}{\lambda_0-\lambda_1}.$$

By the Cauchy integral formula,

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_0,\lambda_1)=\int_{\gamma}f(z)(z-\lambda_0)^{-1}(z-\lambda_1)^{-1}dz,$$

but we also have the representation

$$f^{[1]}(\lambda_0,\lambda_1) = \int_{\Delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f'}(t) e^{its_0\lambda_0} e^{its_1\lambda_1} dt d\sigma(s_0,s_1),$$

and so we always have

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)(z-H_0)^{-1}V(z-H_1)^{-1}dz = \int_{\Delta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f'}(t)e^{its_0H_0}Ve^{its_1H_1}dtd\sigma(s_0,s_1).$$

Taylor expansions!

We can define higher *divided differences* of f, and then we can make sense of

$$\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \Big|_{t=0} f(D+tV) = T^{D,\dots,D}_{f^{[n]}}(V,\dots,V)$$

= $\int_{\gamma} f(z)(z-D)^{-1}V(z-D)^{-1}\cdots V(z-D)^{-1}dz.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

Work in progress

Current work with my supervisors and Teun van Nuland:

WIP (2023)

Let H_0, \ldots, H_n be self-adjoint operators and let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be such that the transformer

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0,\ldots,H_n}:B(H)\times\cdots\times B(H)\to B(H)$$

is defined. Then (leaving out technical details), $T_{\phi}^{H_0,...,H_n}$ can be extended to a transformer of unbounded operators

$$T^{H_0,\ldots,H_n}_{\phi}: \mathrm{op}^{r_1} \times \cdots \mathrm{op}^{r_n} \to \mathrm{op}^{r_1+\cdots+r_n}$$

Thanks

Thank you for your attention!

Take home messages:

- You can reconstruct a Riemannian manifold given the spectral triple (C[∞](X), L₂(X, S), D_S);
- Noncommutative geometry is the study of (noncommutative) spectral triples (*A*, *H*, *D*);
- Multiple operator integrals are a powerful technical tool in this field.

26 / 26