

Unbounded Multiple Operator Integrals

Delft 2023

Eva-Maria Hekkelman

UNSW

August 23 2023

Summary of this talk

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Pseudodifferential calculus
- 3 Multiple operator integrals as pseudodifferential operators

This talk is based on work in progress with Teun van Nuland, Fedor Sukochev and Dmitriy Zanin.

Part 1: Motivation

A slice of life

An important object in noncommutative geometry is the JLO cocycle, which is defined for $a_0, \dots, a_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$, n even, as

$$\Psi_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) = \text{Tr} \left(\eta a_0 \int_{\Delta_n} e^{-t_0 D^2} [D, a_1] e^{-t_1 D^2} \dots [D, a_n] e^{-t_n D^2} dt \right).$$

Here Δ_n is the standard n -simplex, and D is an unbounded self-adjoint operator.

A slice of life

An important object in noncommutative geometry is the JLO cocycle, which is defined for $a_0, \dots, a_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$, n even, as

$$\Psi_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) = \text{Tr} \left(\eta a_0 \int_{\Delta_n} e^{-t_0 D^2} [D, a_1] e^{-t_1 D^2} \dots [D, a_n] e^{-t_n D^2} dt \right).$$

Here Δ_n is the standard n -simplex, and D is an unbounded self-adjoint operator.



Figure: by Teun van Nuland

Multiple operator integrals

If a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ admits a representation of the form

$$\phi(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n) = \int_{\Omega} g_0(\lambda_0, \omega) \cdots g_n(\lambda_n, \omega) d\nu(\omega),$$

with (Ω, ν) and $g_j : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ nice enough, then for self-adjoint operators H_0, \dots, H_n , we can define the transformer

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n} : B(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(V_1, \dots, V_n) = \int_{\Omega} g_0(H_0, \omega) V_1 g_1(H_1, \omega) \cdots V_n g_n(H_n, \omega) d\nu(\omega).$$

This does not depend on how we represent ϕ !

Taylor expansions

An important application of MOIs is to make sense of Taylor expansions of the functional calculus. For $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough, we recursively define

$$f^{[n]}(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{f^{[n-1]}(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) - f^{[n-1]}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}{\lambda_0 - \lambda_n}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(\lambda) = f^{[n]}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda).$$

For densely defined, self-adjoint H and bounded s.-a. V , (abbreviating $T_\phi^{H, \dots, H}$ to T_ϕ^H), and f regular enough,

$$\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \Big|_{t=0} f(H + tV) = T_{f^{[n]}}^H(V, \dots, V).$$

Commutators

MOIs come with many useful identities, which can then be applied in various contexts. An example:

$$\begin{aligned}(z - H)^{-1}V &= V(z - H)^{-1} + [(z - H)^{-1}, V] \\ &= V(z - H)^{-1} + (z - H)^{-1}[H, V](z - H)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

If f is holomorphic, taking a contour integral we can write

$$T_{f[n]}^H(V_1, \dots, V_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z)(z - H)^{-1}V_1(z - H)^{-1} \dots V_n(z - H)^{-1}dz,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}T_{f[n]}^H(aV_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) &= aT_{f[n]}^H(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) \\ &\quad + T_{f[n+1]}^H([H, a], V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n).\end{aligned}$$

This formula holds for non-holomorphic f too.

JLO as MOI

From this perspective, we can write the JLO cocycle as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} \left(\eta a_0 \int_{\Delta_n} e^{-t_0 D^2} [D, a_1] e^{-t_1 D^2} \cdots [D, a_n] e^{-t_n D^2} dt \right) \\ = \mathrm{Tr}(\eta a_0 T_{f[n]}^{D^2}([D, a_1], \dots, [D, a_n])), \end{aligned}$$

with $f(x) = \exp(-x)$. Using this observation we can obtain a clean and hassle-free proof of ...

Local index formula

The Connes–Moscovici local index formula is a generalisation of the Atiyah–Singer index theorem to noncommutative geometry. We write $\chi^{(m)} = [D^2, [D^2, [\dots, [D^2, X] \dots]]$.

Connes–Moscovici

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a spectral triple (plus technical conditions). For n odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) \quad & a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A} \\ = \sum_{|k|, q \geq 0} c_{n,k,q} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} z^q \operatorname{Tr} \left(a_0 [D, a_1]^{(k_1)} \dots [D, a_n]^{(k_n)} |D|^{-2|k|-2z-n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

defines a (b, B) -cocycle whose cohomology class in $HC^{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the cyclic cohomology Chern character $ch_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$.

Problem

There is just one problem, however.

The intermediate steps would involve expressions like

$$T_{f[n]}^{D^2}([D^2, X_1], X_2, \dots, X_n),$$

where $X_1, \dots, X_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$, but $[D^2, X_1]$ is an unbounded operator!

Part 2: Connes–Moscovici’s pseudodifferential calculus

Sobolev spaces

Given a densely defined, invertible self-adjoint operator Θ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we can define the ‘Sobolev’ spaces \mathcal{H}^s , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, as the completion of $\text{dom } \Theta^s$ under the norm

$$\|\xi\|_s^2 = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_s := \langle \Theta^s \xi, \Theta^s \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\Theta^s \xi\|^2, \quad \xi \in \text{dom } \Theta^s.$$

This forms a Hilbert space. We will assume that

$$\mathcal{H}^\infty := \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^s$$

is dense in \mathcal{H} .

We have continuous embeddings

$$\mathcal{H}^t \subseteq \mathcal{H}^s, \quad s \leq t,$$

because

$$\|\Theta^s \xi\| \leq \|\Theta^{s-t}\|_\infty \|\Theta^t \xi\|.$$

Analytic order

Even though Θ itself is an unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} , if we regard it as an operator

$$\Theta : \mathcal{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 = \mathcal{H},$$

it is a perfectly good bounded operator:

$$\|\Theta\|_{\mathcal{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0} = \sup_{\xi: \|\Theta\xi\| \leq 1} \|\Theta\xi\| = 1.$$

We can define op^r for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ as those operators T on \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \text{dom } T$, $T\mathcal{H}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$, and T extends to a bounded operator

$$T : \mathcal{H}^{s+r} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $\text{op}^r \subseteq \text{op}^t$ for $r \leq t$.

Examples

- In a classical setting, if Δ is the Laplace operator on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , setting $\Theta = (1 + \Delta)^{1/2}$ precisely gives the classical Sobolev spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}f] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform.

The k -th order (pseudo)differential operators are contained in op^k , as are the Fourier multipliers T_m for which $m(\xi) = O(|\xi|^k)$.

Examples

- In a classical setting, if Δ is the Laplace operator on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , setting $\Theta = (1 + \Delta)^{1/2}$ precisely gives the classical Sobolev spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}f] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform.

The k -th order (pseudo)differential operators are contained in op^k , as are the Fourier multipliers T_m for which $m(\xi) = O(|\xi|^k)$.

- If Θ is itself a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{H}^s \simeq \mathcal{H}$ for all s , and $\text{op}^r = B(\mathcal{H})$ for all r .

Examples

- In a classical setting, if Δ is the Laplace operator on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , setting $\Theta = (1 + \Delta)^{1/2}$ precisely gives the classical Sobolev spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}f] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform.

The k -th order (pseudo)differential operators are contained in op^k , as are the Fourier multipliers T_m for which $m(\xi) = O(|\xi|^k)$.

- If Θ is itself a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{H}^s \simeq \mathcal{H}$ for all s , and $\text{op}^r = B(\mathcal{H})$ for all r .
- In noncommutative geometry, one has a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, and one usually takes $\Theta = (1 + D^2)^{1/2}$. Then for example $D \in \text{op}^1$, and for a *regular* spectral triple $a, [D, a] \in \text{op}^0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Part 3: MOIs as pseudodifferential operators

Unbounded MOIs

Suppose we have a function

$$\phi(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n) = \int_{\Omega} g_0(\lambda_0, \omega) \cdots g_n(\lambda_n, \omega) d\nu(\omega)$$

such that for self-adjoint H_0, \dots, H_n and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(V_1, \dots, V_n) = \int_{\Omega} g_0(H_0, \omega) V_1 g_1(H_1, \omega) \cdots V_n g_n(H_n, \omega) d\nu(\omega)$$

defines a bounded operator.

If $V_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$, and $g_j(H_j, \omega) \in \text{op}^0$ the integrand is unbounded on \mathcal{H} , but can be considered a bounded operator $\mathcal{H}^{s+r_1+\dots+r_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^s$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

MOI as Ψ DO

Given a pseudodifferential calculus generated by a densely defined, invertible self-adjoint operator Θ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we can define MOIs as follows.

H., van Nuland, Sukochev, Zanin (2023, WIP)

Let H_0, \dots, H_n be self-adjoint operators that strongly commute with Θ . Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be in the Birman–Solomyak function class. Then for $X_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$,

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \int_{\Omega} a_0(H_0, \omega) X_1 a_1(H_1, \omega) \cdots X_n a_n(H_n, \omega) d\nu(\omega) \quad (1)$$

is a well-defined operator in $\text{op}^{r_1 + \dots + r_n}$.

Relation to bounded MOIs

A quick way to see that this works, is by writing for $X_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1 \Theta^{-r_1} \Theta^{r_1}, X_2, \dots, X_n).$$

Relation to bounded MOIs

A quick way to see that this works, is by writing for $X_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1 \Theta^{-r_1}, \Theta^{r_1} X_2, \dots, X_n).$$

Relation to bounded MOIs

A quick way to see that this works, is by writing for $X_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1 \Theta^{-r_1}, \Theta^{r_1} X_2 \Theta^{-r_1-r_2} \Theta^{r_1+r_2}, \dots, X_n).$$

Relation to bounded MOIs

A quick way to see that this works, is by writing for $X_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1 \Theta^{-r_1}, \Theta^{r_1} X_2 \Theta^{-r_1-r_2}, \dots, \Theta^{r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} X_n \Theta^{-r_1-\dots-r_n}) \Theta^{r_1+\dots+r_n}.$$

Relation to bounded MOIs

A quick way to see that this works, is by writing for $X_i \in \text{op}^{r_i}$

$$T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = T_{\phi}^{H_0, \dots, H_n}(X_1 \Theta^{-r_1}, \Theta^{r_1} X_2 \Theta^{-r_1-r_2}, \dots, \Theta^{r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} X_n \Theta^{-r_1-\dots-r_n}) \Theta^{r_1+\dots+r_n}.$$

On the RHS, the MOI has bounded arguments.

This also shows that unbounded MOIs inherit many properties from usual MOI theory.

To emphasise: this paradigm of MOIs makes sense of multiple operator integrals whose arguments are differential operators, pseudodifferential operators or Fourier multipliers.

Asymptotic expansion

By applying identities like

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f[n]}^{D^2}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) &= X_1 T_{f[n]}^{D^2}(1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ &\quad + T_{f[n+1]}^{D^2}([D^2, X_1], 1, X_2, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

a million times (which is now possible!), one gets the formal expression (using multi-index notation and $X^{(m)} = [D^2, [\dots, [D^2, X] \dots]]$)

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f[n]}^{(tD)^2}(X_1, \dots, X_n) &\sim \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} t^{2|m|} C_m X_1^{(m_1)} \dots X_n^{(m_n)} T_{f[n+|m|]}^{(tD)^2}(1, \dots, 1) \\ &= \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} t^{2|m|} \frac{C_m}{(n+|m|)!} X_1^{(m_1)} \dots X_n^{(m_n)} f^{(n+|m|)}(t^2 D^2). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, this only makes sense if we can say something about the remainder terms.

Local index formula

This expansion demystifies the local index formula

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) \\ = \sum_{|k|, q \geq 0} c_{n,k,q} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} z^q \operatorname{Tr} \left(a_0 [D, a_1]^{(k_1)} \dots [D, a_n]^{(k_n)} |D|^{-2|k|-2z-n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as being related to the expansion of

$$a_0 T_{f_n^{[n]}}^{D^2}([D, a_1], \dots, [D, a_n])$$

for $f_n(x) = x^{n/2}$, but can also be used to prove new results.

Existence of asymptotic expansions

H., van Nuland, Sukochev, Zanin (2023, WIP)

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of bounded operators and let D be a densely defined, self-adjoint operator s.t. $(D - i)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_s$, $s > 0$, (for example, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is s -summable spectral triple) and denote the algebra of operators generated by \mathcal{A} and D by \mathcal{B} . Let $P, V \in \mathcal{B}$ with V self-adjoint and bounded. If $\text{Tr}(Qe^{-t^2 D^2})$ admits an asymptotic expansion as $t \rightarrow 0$ for each operator $Q \in \mathcal{B}$, then

$$\text{Tr}(Pe^{-t^2(D+V)^2})$$

also admits an asymptotic expansion as $t \rightarrow 0$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(Pe^{-t^2(D+V)^2}) &\sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+|m|}}{(n+|m|)!} t^{2(n+|m|)-1} c_{n,k} C_m \\ &\times \text{Tr}(PD_{0,k} V^{(m_1)} D_{1,k} \cdots V^{(m_n)} D_{n,k} e^{-t^2 D^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks

Thank you for your attention!